Survival from malignant mesothelioma: where are we now?
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ABSTRACT

Background: The prognosis of malignant pleural mesothelioma has traditionally been poor. Whether this remains the case compared to historical data and within a specific geographical location is uncertain. Knowledge of predictive factors for survival with malignant pleural mesothelioma is also inadequate.

Methods: We conducted a retrospective local database analysis to determine overall prognosis of patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma and evaluate the influence of demographic characteristics, histological subtype and laboratory parameters. Patients with histological diagnoses of malignant pleural mesothelioma held on the NHS Grampian pathology database between 2002 and 2012 were analysed. Data on baseline demographics, mode of diagnosis, histological sub-type, and survival and serum laboratory parameters, were analysed; time to death was examined using Cox regression analyses.

Results: A total of 114 patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma were included in the analysis. The median survival was 345 days (IQR 99–600). Sarcomatoid malignant pleural mesothelioma carried a significantly worse prognosis with median survival of 125 days (IQR 44–289) vs 334 days (IQR 126–715) for biphasic, 412 days (IQR 201–656) for epithelioid and 345 days (IQR 99–600) for those with no definitive typing. Individuals who did not receive chemotherapy experienced a significantly worse prognosis (hazard ratio 2.7; 95%CI 1.5–4.7; p = 0.001), while a low albumin and raised urea at time of diagnosis were also associated with a significantly poorer prognosis.

Conclusion: The survival of patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma remains poor and typically around 1 year. The presence of raised urea and low albumin is associated with a poorer prognosis, while patients with a good performance status and few co-morbidities should be encouraged to receive chemotherapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) is an aggressive cancer that occurs usually as a consequence of inhalation of asbestos fibres. It typically affects males following a latent period after occupational exposure, although para-occupational (especially in females) and environmental exposure causing asbestos-related disorders are well recognised. The incidence of MPM has increased over the past few decades and it has been suggested that annual deaths will peak in the UK between 2011 and 2015. A paucity of older studies – prior to widespread introduction of more rapid diagnostic tools and advances in chemotherapy regimes – have reported survival data, with median prognosis considered to be 8–14 months following diagnosis.
The primary aim of this study was to evaluate the overall prognosis of patients from the time of diagnosis with biopsy-proven MPM within our own institution. As a secondary aim, we wished to explore the influence of individual patient-specific characteristics in an attempt to help guide patients and their families regarding likely life expectancy following diagnosis.

METHODS

A retrospective analysis of the NHS Grampian pathology database was performed to identify all patients histologically diagnosed ante-mortem with MPM between January 2002 and December 2012 (inclusive); all patients (at the time of diagnostic procedure) were resident within the catchment area of NHS Grampian in the north east of Scotland, which covers a population of approximately 500,000. Demographic data, mode of diagnosis, histological subtype, survival and serum laboratory parameters at the time of diagnosis were then extracted from the database. Survival time was calculated from date of diagnosis until death from any cause or until last follow-up in censored patients, with follow-up of patients remaining alive performed until March 2015.

A Kaplan-Meier with Mantel-Cox log-rank analysis was performed on MPM survival data. A Cox proportional hazards model with backwards stepwise selection was used to assess the effect of variables on survival from MPM. The parameters of age, gender, pathological type, receipt of chemotherapy, white cell count, neutrophil leukocyte ratio (NLR; obtained by dividing the blood neutrophil count by the blood lymphocyte count), serum albumin, urea, creatinine and C-reactive protein were included in the multivariate analysis. A C-reactive protein less than the limits of detection were assigned a value of zero. P-values > 0.05 were deemed non-significant. Statistical analysis was performed using Prism version 6 and SPSS version 21.

RESULTS

Patient demographics

A total of 130 patients were identified as having MPM within the study period. Post-mortem diagnosis was made in six patients and no accurate follow-up data were available in ten, meaning that 114 patients with MPM diagnosed on histological specimens ante-mortem were included in the survival analysis.

Patients were predominantly male (n = 96, 84%) with a right-sided (n = 66, 58%) MPM, and the median age was 70 years at diagnosis (IQR 63–76). The most common pathological subtype was epithelioid (n = 64, 56%), followed by biphasic (n = 18, 16%) and sarcomatoid (n = 18, 16%), while 12% (n = 14) had no definitive typing.

Pathological diagnosis was obtained via a variety of techniques (Figure 1). The most common diagnostic modalities were pleural aspiration and Abrams biopsy; however these demonstrated low positive diagnostic yield (9% and 41%, respectively). Targeted biopsy techniques demonstrated the highest positive yield rates.
Overall median survival of all patients with MPM was 345 days (IQR 99–600) from diagnosis. Sarcomatoid MPM carried a significantly worse prognosis with median survival of 125 days (IQR 44–289), compared to 334 days (IQR 126–715) for biphasic, 412 days (IQR 201–656) for epithelioid and 345 days (IQR 99–600) for those with no definitive typing (Figure 2; p < 0.0001).

### Parameters influencing MPM survival

A multivariate Cox proportional hazards model identified the parameters independently associated with survival, with covariates not significantly influencing outcome removed by back selection. Each histological subtype was compared with epithelioid MPM as this independently carried the best survival. The final model is shown in Table 1. Age, white cell count, C-reactive protein, creatinine and NLR were not significantly associated with MPM survival, while male gender, sarcomatoid subtype, raised serum urea and lower serum albumin levels were independently associated with poorer survival in MPM. Sarcomatoid subtype was the most hazardous variable in the model with a hazard ratio of 6.6 (95% CI 3.2–13.6). Although identified as independent predictors, raised urea and reduced albumin were only weakly associated with a poorer prognosis with hazard ratios of 1.1 and 0.9, respectively.

Whether or not chemotherapy had been received could be ascertained in 85 individuals. Using Kaplan-Meier analysis (Figure 3), those receiving chemotherapy experienced a significantly superior prognosis; median survival in those receiving chemotherapy (n = 47) was 425 days (IQR 314–704) vs 124 days (IQR 61–347) in others (n = 38) (p = 0.0006). The positive independent effect of chemotherapy on MPM survival was further verified in the Cox model (Table 1) with a hazard ratio of 2.7 when chemotherapy was not given (p = 0.001).

### Conclusion

We have shown that across an 11-year period, overall median survival in patients with MPM was approximately 1 year. It is disappointing that, following publication of previous data relating to patients well over a decade ago, the long-term prognosis of MPM remains poor. The reasons behind this are likely to be multifactorial. For example, since MPM typically presents in the seventh decade, many patients will have other significant co-morbidities and respiratory failure. Typically these will be from concomitant chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, meaning that more aggressive treatment regimes may be precluded, while MPM itself generally presents as a fairly advanced and incurable disease.

Moreover, in our own cohort from 2006 onwards, only around 40% of patients were considered sufficiently fit for or agreed to treatment with chemotherapy. Unlike early stage lung cancer in patients with good performance status (PS), radical surgery in MPM is generally not undertaken. In a multi-centre trial, 50 patients were randomised to undergo extra-pleural pneumonectomy (EPP) or not. Those having surgery experienced a poorer median survival of 14 months while the corresponding value was 20 months in the ‘no EPP’ group. As many as ten patients in the former group experienced a serious adverse effect vs two in the latter.

Further, in a recent retrospective study evaluating outcomes of 1365 patients, EPP and extended pleurectomy decortication conferred no survival benefit vs medical therapy alone. However, there are some encouraging data suggesting that, with accurate pre-operative tissue diagnosis, nodal staging and induction chemotherapy prior to radical surgery, it is possible to achieve long term survival.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male vs female)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.0–4.7)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological subtype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcomatoid (versus epithelioid)</td>
<td>6.6 (3.2–13.5)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biphasic</td>
<td>2.2 (1.2–4.3)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No definitive type</td>
<td>1.4 (0.6–3.2)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy (no chemotherapy vs chemotherapy given)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.5–4.7)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum urea (per mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.0–1.2)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum albumin (per g/L)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.85–0.95)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As with other studies, we demonstrated that the sarcomatoid subtype was an adverse prognostic factor, while we also identified male gender, low serum albumin and raised serum urea as predictors of a poorer survival. Although in isolation the ability of these prognostic factors to predict prognosis is not possible, their presence may provide the clinician with additional information when discussing life-expectancy with patients and their families. Moreover, low albumin and elevated urea may represent surrogate markers of poor nutritional status and dehydration respectively, and perhaps raise the notion that these ‘correctable’ factors are explored.

The retrospective nature of our data means that other potentially useful prognostic factors such as smoking history and PS at time of diagnosis were not available. A further drawback is that patients were retrospectively identified from a pathology database; although we feel we captured all those with histologically-proven MPM. It is likely that some patients – especially those with a poor PS, co-morbidities and advanced age – over the 11-year period studied did have mesothelioma but a tissue diagnosis was not pursued meaning that data capture and analysis were incomplete. However, it could be argued that with identification of these patients, the prognosis would have been even poorer than that calculated.

Recently, based on data derived from three large international cohorts of patients with a malignant pleural effusion of any cause, the LENT prognostic score (pleural fluid lactate dehydrogenase, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group PS, NLR and tumour type) was developed. This demonstrated that only 65% of patients with a high LENT score survived 1 month from diagnosis and only 3% survived 6 months. Moreover, the LENT score was considered to be significantly superior at predicting survival compared with Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group PS at 1, 3 and 6 months. Pleural fluid lactate dehydrogenase and PS were unfortunately not available in the majority of our cohort, although we did demonstrate that the peripheral blood NLR in isolation was not associated with differences in prognosis in patients with MPM. Identification of further biological prognostic factors in MPM is thus warranted, with early studies of biomarkers currently being explored.

In summary, our data reinforce the fact that prognosis of MPM in general remains poor and unchanged over the years. Moreover, we highlight that factors associated with a poorer prognosis include the sarcomatoid subtype, male gender, elevated urea and low albumen, while patients who receive chemotherapy tend to experience a more favourable prognosis.
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