Malignant mesothelioma presenting as a breast mass

A 60-year-old male presented with suspected breast cancer. He had a medical history of hypertension, learning difficulties and previous alcohol abuse. The patient had no family history of breast cancer. He was an ex-smoker (15 pack-years history) and worked as a labourer, with no reported exposure to asbestos.

On examination, we identified a non-tender 13 cm size mass in the right breast, invading the pectoralis muscle and extending into the axillary tail (Figures 1 and 2). Dilated veins showed on the surrounding skin. A transthoracic ultrasound and two core biopsies were performed. The ultrasound suggested an intrathoracic origin and the core biopsies showed extensive infiltration by tumour cells. Potential diagnoses included mesothelioma, poorly differentiated carcinoma or primary lung carcinoma.

A full staging computed tomography (CT) scan was performed to measure the degree to which the cancer had spread (Figures 3 and 4). The scan showed a 14 cm mass in the right breast, straddling the chest wall, with a small tissue component in the anterior right pleura. There was also a large right pleural effusion with two soft tissue nodules on the pleura of the mediastinal interface.

The patient was referred for a surgical incisional biopsy. The pleural effusion was not drained during this procedure because there were minimal symptoms. However the patient’s dyspnoea progressed and a posterior, single port, video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) procedure was carried out, draining 4.8 litres of blood-stained fluid from the area. It was clear on visual inspection that there was a large intrathoracic component of the tumour involving both visceral and parietal pleura. The right lung failed to expand, so a long-term intrapleural catheter (©Rocket) was put in place.

Histology showed a tumour with a solid nested growth pattern and epithelioid cells with abundant cytoplasm, pleomorphic nuclei and prominent, multiple nucleoli with mitotic figures. The tumour cells show no evidence of mucin production. Immunohistochemistry showed strong positive expression with calretinin (a calcium-binding protein detected in most malignant mesotheliomas [MM]), epithelial membrane antigen (an established serum marker for breast cancer), Wilms tumour 1 (WT1), an independent marker of breast cancer, pan-cytokeratin (a traditional non-specific epithelial marker), thrombomodulin (a naturally occurring anticoagulant that may play a role in tumour metastases), D2-40 (an antibody used in identifying mesothelioma), e-cadherin (a protein found on the surface of breast cancer cells) and cytokeratin 5, 6 and 7. Cytokeratin 5/6 (CK 5/6) immunoreactivity has been observed in the vast majority of cases of MM but only rarely in pulmonary adenocarcinomas. Therefore CK 5/6 has been used to distinguish MM from pulmonary adenocarcinoma in which CK 7 is observed predominantly (Figures 5 and 6).

The most likely diagnosis was epithelioid mesothelioma as the morphological appearances fit and this diagnosis is fully supported by positive immunostaining with calretinin, cytokeratin 5/6, D2-40, thrombomodulin and WT1. Chemotherapy was not possible, due to the patient’s condition. Palliative radiotherapy was considered for pain control but the patient’s health deteriorated and he died before treatment could be initiated.

DISCUSSION

Epidemiology and aetiology

Malignant mesothelioma is a rare form of cancer that develops in the pleura or peritoneal serosa (a membrane that encloses several body cavities). The majority of cases are associated with exposure to asbestos, although the exposure dose is often lower in cases of mesothelioma than for asbestosis or an asbestos-related lung cancer. There is typically a delay between exposure and development of the disease. Asbestos use was prevalent in...
the UK in the 1960s and 1970s, especially in the north east of England, where our patient lived and worked. A 1999 study predicted an epidemic of mesothelioma in Europe, with an estimated quarter of a million deaths in Western Europe between 1999 and 2034.1 More recent figures from the Health and Safety Executive (a national independent watchdog for work-related health, safety and illness) show that yearly mortality from MM in the UK has risen from 158 in 1968 to 2,249 in 2008.2 The majority of cases are in men. By 2050, around 91,000 deaths are predicted, with approximately 61,000 from 2007 onwards.2,3

The lack of exposure history in some patients, and the fact that only a small proportion of exposed individuals are affected has led some to suggest other aetiological factors such as a genetic predisposition, or exposure to the tumour-inducing simian vacuolating virus 40 (SV40). The gene sequence of that virus has been identified in over 50% of patients with epithelioid mesotheliomas. However, epidemiologic studies of patients with virus inoculation through contaminated polio vaccines have not shown a strong link.3–5

Presentations of malignant mesothelioma

Lee et al.4 first described a similar case in a female patient presenting with a breast mass. Malignant mesothelioma has also been reported to present as sclerosing mediastinitis,7 an intrascrotal mass,9 pericardial disease,9 and with meningeal and brain metastases.10

The majority of MM are pleural. Symptoms include a cough, dyspnoea, weight loss and chest pain. The features of MM are circumferential nodular lung encasement, pleural thickening with irregular pleuro-pulmonary margins, pleural thickening with superimposed nodules and the extension of pleural thickening onto the mediastinal surface.11 It is difficult to differentiate irregular pleural thickening from pleural effusions on plain chest radiographs so a CT scan is the most effective way of distinguishing them.

Pathology

Malignant mesothelioma can often present initially as pleural effusions. The use of cytology for diagnosis can be difficult as examination of pleural fluid for MM can have an overall sensitivity of just 32%12 and the sensitivity can be as
low as 20% in sarcomatoid MM. Blind biopsy techniques are quick to perform and inexpensive. However, Abrams’ punch pleural biopsy is less effective at diagnosing pleural thickening than a CT-guided percutaneous pleural biopsy and is therefore not ideal. Other techniques include ultrasound-guided or surgical video-assisted thoracoscopic biopsy. In a review of 45 cases of MM, open pleural biopsy had a sensitivity of 95% and specificity of 100% for definitive diagnosis. Closed blind biopsies had a sensitivity of 16% and a specificity of 94%. All CT-guided biopsies confirmed mesothelioma but there were only five cases. In another study of 100 cases of MM, the sensitivity of all CT-guided core biopsies is quoted as 86%. In that study, needle track seeding, which is a potential hazard for any biopsy, had an incidence of 4% for image-guided core-needle biopsy and 22% for surgical biopsy. The definitive procedure for confirmation of diagnosis should be decided upon in a cancer multidisciplinary meeting.

Histology is an important tool in establishing a definitive diagnosis of MM. There are three main histological subtypes of MM, with differing prognoses: 50% of MM is diagnosed as epithelioid, 16% as sarcomatoid and 34% as both epithelioid and sarcomatoid.

Pathological diagnosis is also challenging. The first step is to differentiate an epithelioid mesothelioma from a sarcomatoid mesothelioma or an adenocarcinoma and establish that the changes are not from local pleural reactivity. Histological examination alone is usually insufficient in making a correct diagnosis and most pathologists rely on a panel of immunohistochemical markers to help differentiate between these conditions, although none can distinguish absolutely between these two diseases with complete sensitivity and specificity.

Epithelioid MM and metastatic adenocarcinomas share some histological attributes and can be difficult to distinguish from each other. Epithelioid MM stain for calretinin, CKS/6, WT1, thrombomodulin, n-cadherin and vimentin. Pulmonary adenocarcinomas are negative for those but positive for carinoembryonic antigen (84%), CD15 (77%), Ber-EP-4 (82%), TTF-1, e-cadherin and MOC-31. It is worth noting that histochemical stains such as periodic acid-Schiff tend to be positive in both. Non-pulmonary adenocarcinomas can show different expression which is why these stains need to be assessed in combination with the clinical-radiological picture.

The cells in sarcomatoid MM tend to be elongated spindle-shaped cells. They are irregular and overlap, in contrast to epithelioid MM where the cells are well-defined and uniform in shape. Sarcomatoid MM are also usually negative for TTF-1, CKS/6 and WT1. Pan-cytokeratin stains 70% of sarcomatoid mesotheliomas but also 17% of sarcomas and 90% of sarcomatoid carcinomas, and 100% of epithelioid mesotheliomas. Calretinin and thrombomodulin also stain 70% of sarcomatoid MM.

The morphology of the cells and the stains as described in our case report confirmed the diagnosis for our patient.

Treatment options

The standard treatment in patients who have a World Health Organization performance status of 0 or 1 (restricted in strenuous activity but able to move around and carry out light work) is palliative chemo-therapy. The Evaluation of Mesothelioma in a Phase III trial of Pemetrexed with Cisplatin (EMPHACIS) study was a single-blind, international, multicentre trial comparing cisplatin and pemetrexed with cisplatin alone, in 448 patients. Combination chemotherapy was found to be superior, with a median survival of 12.1 months vs 9.3 months (p=0.02). Response rates and rate of progression were also superior for the combination group. This trial led to pemetrexed becoming the only
licensed drug for the treatment of mesothelioma. Further work has shown that the addition of vitamin supplementation reduces the toxicity of pemetrexed without reducing the efficacy. This was also demonstrated in the EMPHACIS trial. Ongoing trials are now examining different therapies in the first-line setting and second-line treatment.

Surgical treatments are rarely used. Extrapleural pneumonectomies (EPP) for example, involve the removal of as much macroscopic disease as possible. A systematic review in 2005 concluded that the role of EPP could not be defined.20 Although a recent UK multimodality pilot study (Mesothelioma and Radical Surgery [MARS] I)21 was carried out in preparation for a phase II trial, there are no randomised controlled trials of EPP. Video assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) pleurectomy and decortication (a similar debulking operation) is a lung-sparing option, and is being considered for a future MARS 2 trial. A palliative operation such as VATS pleurectomy can prevent re-accumulation of effusions and has been linked with an increased survival rate22 but there have been no randomised trials to date. These operations are best performed on carefully selected patients with a good performance status and adequate cardiovascular reserve, in a multi-modality setting and as part of ongoing clinical trials.

Radiotherapy is used for symptom control but large doses cannot usually be administered due to the potential side-effects on the other mediastinal structures. Prophylactic radiotherapy is also sometimes applied to biopsy needle tracts to prevent local MM seeding along tract sites, but there has been no proven benefit. A 1995 study found that tumour seeding in tract sites was prevented in all 20 patients who received prophylactic radiotherapy.23 However, O’Rourke et al.24 devised a similar study involving 61 patients which indicated that radiotherapy made no statistical difference in the risk of subcutaneous tumour growth. However, 35% of their patients experienced significant side-effects from the radiotherapy, re-igniting the debate as to whether radiotherapy should only be given if tumours appear.

Treatment of MM is therefore essentially palliative and should be focused on improving symptoms and prolonging life.

CONCLUSION

Mesothelioma is an increasingly common disease and the number of unusual presentations is therefore likely to rise. Mesothelioma spreads by direct invasion into surrounding structures and an intrathoracic origin should be considered in the differential diagnosis of unusual chest wall or breast masses. Adequate tissue sampling and immunohistochemical interpretation is essential to make a correct diagnosis but the risk of subsequent tumour seeding at instrument sites also needs to be considered. Diagnosis is improved by a multidisciplinary approach that includes both clinical and radiological correlation. Mesothelioma is invariably a fatal disease, although a combination of chemotherapy and radiotherapy in selected patients has been shown to control symptoms and improve survival rates.
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