

The role of statins in the management of aortic stenosis

OMP Jolobe

Retired geriatric consultant, Manchester, UK

TITLE Intensive lipid lowering with simvastatin and ezetimide in aortic stenosis

Published online November 2008

AUTHORS Rossebo AB, Pedersen TR, Boman IC et al.

Correspondence to OMP Jolobe, 1, The Lodge, 842 Wilmslow Road, Didsbury, Manchester M20 2RN, UK

JOURNAL *N Engl J Med* 2008; 359:1343–56.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS No conflict of interests declared.

e-mail oscarjolobe@yahoo.co.uk

SUMMARY

Rossebo et al. randomised 1,873 patients (mean age 67.5 years) with mild to moderate asymptomatic aortic stenosis (AS) for treatment with simvastatin 40 mg/day and ezetimide (the latter so as to improve the lipid-lowering effect while decreasing the risk of myopathy) or placebo. Eligible patients were those with echocardiographically evaluated peak aortic-jet velocity of 2.5–4 m per second, and patients were excluded if they had received a diagnosis or had symptoms of coronary artery disease (CAD), peripheral arterial disease, cerebrovascular disease or diabetes mellitus. The primary outcome was a composite of coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), percutaneous coronary intervention, hospitalisation for unstable angina, non-fatal myocardial infarction, aortic valve replacement, non-haemorrhagic stroke and death from cardiovascular causes.

During a median follow-up of 52.2 months, significantly fewer patients had ischaemic cardiovascular events in the simvastatin-ezetimide group (148 vs. 187, hazard ratio 0.78; 95% CI, 0.63–0.97; $p=0.02$) 'mainly because of the smaller number of patients who underwent coronary-artery bypass grafting'. No significant effect was documented on the rate of aortic valve replacement, which occurred in 28.3% of the simvastatin-ezetimide group vs. 29.9% of the placebo group.

There also appeared to be an increase in cancer risk among patients receiving combined ezetimide and simvastatin therapy (105 vs. 70, $p=0.01$), but there was no significant difference between the study groups in overall mortality.

In the opinion of the authors, the risk of cancer was unlikely to be attributable to simvastatin, given that 'analysis of data from 14 statin trials involving approximately 90,000 patients showed no evidence of an increased incidence or death from cancer'. Ezetimide, however, had been less extensively studied in this regard.

OPINION

Degenerative AS is an age-related disorder with a prevalence of 2–7% in those over 65 years of age.¹ In that age group, CAD is one of the most important co-morbidities associated with AS.² Given the histological similarities between CAD and early AS,³ it was hypothesised that 'statins may be useful agents in preventing the progression of AS'.⁴

The most recent, and largest, retrospective study testing this hypothesis is an analysis of 1,046 patients with a mean age of 70 years, 309 of whom had been treated with statins. In a multivariate analysis, statin therapy proved to be one of the three parameters that independently slowed down progression of aortic valve disease during an average follow-up of 5.6 years (range 2–19). The beneficial effect was, however, only evident in patients with aortic sclerosis and in those with mild AS.⁵ Two prospective trials on smaller numbers of patients have given conflicting results, with one study showing no effect of atorvastatin on the progression of aortic stenosis,⁶ and the other showing a beneficial effect of rosuvastatin.⁷

This study by Rossebo et al. is currently the most decisive report on the role of statins in AS management. It was a valid comparison between lipid-lowering therapy using statins and placebo in two subgroups of AS patients receiving comparable background treatment, including angiotensin-converting enzyme blockade, angiotensin receptor blockade, beta adrenergic blockade, aspirin and oral anticoagulants respectively. Two of the issues addressed by the study, the rate of AS progression to aortic valve replacement (AVR) and the rate of progression of associated coronary artery disease to CABG, were of high relevance to practising clinicians.

Statins did not reduce the subsequent need for AVR, but the fact that progression of coronary artery disease was retarded holds out the promise of a reduction in the rate of CABG in the event of AVR when patients

commence statin therapy sufficiently early in the evolution of AS. This is an important consideration, given that AVR-related mortality risk is higher when this procedure is combined with CABG,⁸ especially in octogenarians.⁹ In that age group, the rate of hospital deaths can be as low as 8.5% after isolated AVR and as high as 26.5% after combined AVR and CABG.⁹

Accordingly, this study may be the fulfilment of the hope and prediction made 11 years ago that the adjunctive

use of statins in AS patients with CAD might reduce the requirement for adjunctive use of CABG in the management of AS.¹⁰ These hopes should, however, be tempered with the recognition that all trials with composite outcomes should be interpreted with certain caveats in mind, including the risk of overstating the results of the individual outcomes.¹¹ The apparent benefit on CABG therefore needs to be tested in a separate trial.

REFERENCES

- 1 Ramaraj R, Sorrell VL. Degenerative aortic stenosis. *BMJ* 2008; 336:550–5.
- 2 Linhart JW, de la Torre A, Ramsey HW et al. The significance of coronary artery disease in aortic valve replacement. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1968; 55:811–9.
- 3 Navaro GM, Griffin BP. Calcific aortic stenosis: another face of atherosclerosis? *Cleve Clin J Med* 2003; 70:471–7.
- 4 Rashidi A, Casscells VV, Madjid M et al. Is it time to prescribe statins to patients with calcified aortic stenosis? *Am Heart J* 2005; 150:41–5.
- 5 Antonini-Canterin F, Hirsu M, Popescu BA et al. Stage-related effect of statin treatment on the progression of aortic valve sclerosis and stenosis. *Am J Cardiol* 2008; 102:738–42.
- 6 Cowell SJ, Newby DE, Prescott RJ et al. A randomized trial of intensive lipid-lowering therapy in calcific aortic stenosis. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 352(23):2389–97.
- 7 Moura LM, Ramos SF, Zamorano JL et al. Rosuvastatin affecting aortic valve endothelium to slow the progression of aortic stenosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; 49(5):554–61.
- 8 Berndt TB, Hancock EW, Shumway NE et al. Aortic valve replacement with or without coronary artery bypass surgery. *Circulation* 1974; 50:967–71.
- 9 Kolh P, Kerzmann A, Lahaye L et al. Cardiac surgery in octogenarians; perioperative outcome and long-term results. *Eur Heart J* 2001; 22:1235–43.
- 10 Jolobe OMP. A new appraisal of reducing cholesterol in the prevention of coronary heart disease (letter). *Proc R Coll Physicians Edinb* 1997; 27:649.
- 11 Lim E, Brown A, Helmy A et al. Composite outcomes in cardiovascular research: a survey of randomized trials. *Ann Intern Med* 2008; 149:612–7.

RESPIRATORY MEDICINE SYMPOSIUM

Thursday, 26 February 2009

Venue: Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh

The organising committee comprising both consultants and trainees from the southeast of Scotland have put together an exciting and varied programme aimed at a wide range of medical professionals.

Session 1: Controversies in pleural disease

- A physician's perspective
- A surgeon's perspective

Session 2: Debate

'High concentration oxygen should be given to patients presenting with acute severe illness to A&E'

Session 3: A guide to clinical allergy for the non-specialist

Grey cases: Allergy in respiratory practice

Session 4: How do I manage...?

- Respiratory issues and driving
- Osteoporosis in patients on inhaled and oral steroids
- Hepatopulmonary syndromes

Full details on the conference, including registration, can be obtained from:

<http://www.rcpe.ac.uk/education/events/index.php>

or by contacting

Josh Hey-Cunningham,
Education Co-ordinator,
Royal College of Physicians of
Edinburgh, 9 Queen Street,
Edinburgh EH2 1JQ

Tel: +44 (0)131 247 3619

Email: j.hey-cunningham@rcpe.ac.uk



Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh